

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

### **The Status of the Claims.**

Claims 17 and 19 are pending with entry of this amendment, claims 1 and 20 being currently cancelled, and claims 2 to 16 and 21 to 26 being previously cancelled. Claim 19 is amended herein. The amendments introduce no new matter and support is replete throughout the specification. These amendments are made without prejudice and are not to be construed as abandonment of the previously claimed subject matter or agreement with any objection or rejection of record.

With respect to claim 19, the claim is merely amended into independent form as suggested in the Advisory Action of July 6, 2007. Support for the amendments can be found throughout the specification. For example, see Figure 5.

Applicants submit that no new matter has been added to the application by way of the above Amendment. Accordingly, entry of the Amendment is respectfully requested.

### **Interview Summary.**

The Examiner graciously agreed to an interview after final in this case. On August 30, 2007, Applicants representative called Examiner Berch. The discussion focused on proper form to remove the objection to claim 19. It was agreed that the structure of the claim includes all relevant limitations of the previous claim 1 upon which it was dependent. The claim, as provided in the Applicant fax of August 2, 2007, was acknowledged as eliminating the objection.

The Examiner had expressed concern about the clarity of the claim 17 formula structures. Applicant noted that a larger and sharper structures would be provided in the allowed claim.

### **Allowable Claims**

Applicants appreciate that claim 17 has been allowed.

Claim 19 had been objected to as dependent upon a rejected claim, and deemed allowable if it were amended to include all limitations of the base claim. In compliance with the suggestions of the Advisory Action and comments of the Examiner, the claim has been amended to include all relevant limitations of the independent claim 1 (now cancelled), upon which claim 19 had been dependent. The stated basis for the objection has been eliminated. In the Interview of August 29, 2007, the Examiner has agreed the claim is now in form for allowance and the amendment will be entered.

### CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believes all claims now pending in this application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

Applicant's representative has amended the present claims to provide allowable claims based on his understanding of the phone interview discussion of August 28, 2007. If issues remain, Applicant would consider Examiner's amendments to provide allowance. If the claims are deemed not to be in condition for allowance after consideration of this Response, a telephone interview with the Examiner is hereby requested.

LAW GROUP  
QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
P.O. BOX 458,  
Alameda, CA 94501  
Tel: 510 769-3510  
Fax: 510 337-7877  
PTO Customer No.: **22798**  
Deposit Account No.: **50-0893**

Respectfully submitted,



Gary Baker  
Reg. No: 41,595

Attachments:

- 1) A transmittal sheet;
- 2) A fee transmittal;
- 3) A request for a 2-month extension; and,
- 4) A receipt indication postcard.